Muswell Hill Area Committee

15th September 2011

Report Title: Making the Difference Bids

Report of: Stephen McDonnell, Asst Director Frontline Services

Signed:

Contact Officer: Claire Kowalska, Community Safety and Engagement Manager

Wards(s) affected: Muswell Hill, Highgate, Alexandra and Fortis Green

Report for: Non-key

1. Purpose of the report (That is, the decision required)

1.1. To agree to fund the recommended projects and amounts as outlined in Appendix 1 attached under the Making a Difference fund.

2. Introduction by Cabinet Member (if necessary)

2.1 The 'Making the Difference' fund is established to help improve the quality of the local environment and lives of local residents, promoting a lasting impact where possible. A total of £25,000 has been allocated to each of the 7 Committee areas and applications have been invited from recognised or constituted groups. The deadline for receipt of applications was 23 August and there has now been an evaluation process and those applications that meet the criteria will be considered and agreed by each of the 7 Area Committees in the September round of meetings. All projects will be delivered and accounted for within the financial year ending March 2012, with a maximum of £5,000 being given to any individual project.

3. State link(s) with Council Plan Priorities and actions and /or other Strategies:

3.1. The application criteria drew a link between the 5 Rethinking Haringey themes and these, in turn, sit above a myriad of Council and partnership policies and strategies (ref. Appendix 2)

4. Recommendations

4.1. To approve the proposed sums to be awarded as listed on Appendix 1

5. Reason for recommendation(s)

This recommendation is based on a short-listing and scoring exercise and agreed with the relevant Committee Members

6. Other options considered

6.1. None

7. Summary

7.1. It is common practice for a community fund to be available for small, local projects to support engagement and involvement by residents and their associations. A further purpose is also to highlight local issues and to stimulate problem solving. This follows many years in Haringey of the Making the Difference Budget Fund. However, it has been stated that this is likely to be the final year of such funding due to resource constraints.

8. Chief Financial Officer Comments

8.1 A budget of £25,000 has been identified for each Area Committee as part of the 2011-12 budget process. At this point there is no guarantee this budget will continue past 2011-12 and so projects identified should avoid committing the Council to expenditure beyond this year. Any expenditure will also need to comply with existing Council contract standing orders that specify that 3 quotations are required if spend is to exceed £5k."

9. Head of Legal Services Comments

9.1. The protocol covering Area Committees which is incorporated into the Council's Constitution makes it clear that the Area Committees shall be responsible for administering this fund within their respective areas.

10. Head of Procurement Comments –[Required for Procurement Committee] N/A

11. Equalities & Community Cohesion Comments

11.1. Committee Members have given due attention to allocating resources according to local need and compliance with the relevant rules and criteria

12. Consultation

12.1. There has been some consultation with the Voluntary and Community Sector Team, where necessary, to check that bidding groups are recognised or constituted

13. Service Financial Comments

13.1. There is a clearly identified budget for this project which is allocated to and overseen by Frontline Services

14. Use of appendices /Tables and photographs

14.1. App. 1 - Final agreed projects and amounts

14.2. App 2 - Example copy of the short-listing criteria

15. Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985

15.1. Localism Bill 2010



Hill Area Committee)

MAKING THE DIFFERENCE FUND 2011-12 (Muswell Appendix 1



MAKING THE DIFFERENCE FUND 2011-12 (Muswell Hill Area Committee) Appendix

Application	Application Name of Organisation	Project Title/	Total		-
Number	or Group	Description	Amount	recommendations amount	confirmed
***************************************			Requested		
-	Cranley Gardens	Replacement of the 15 £3,750.00	£3,750.00	Accepted for 9 trees only at	£ 2,250.00
	Residents Association	cherry trees missing		£250 each to be planted	
		from Cranley Gardens		this year. The Council will	
				plant a further 6 next	
		The state of the s		financial year	
2.	Eleanor Road N11	Tree planting in	£1,000.00	Not in the Committee Area.	
	Neighbourhood Watch	Eleanor Road		Re-allocated	
_.	Muswell Hill & Fortis	Supply of plants and	2750.00	Accepted and full amount	\$ 750 00
	Green Association	suitable soil for two		agreed	2
	(MHFGA)	community beds (near			
		junction with Pages			
4.	Highgate Society	North Hill Challenge	00 000 33	A 2000 to the state of the stat	0000
	(2000)		22,000.00	Agreed but reduced to £3,750 to reflect value for	£ 3,750.00
				money as only for a single	
				street	
14	IANI Taract		T		
Э.	JAIN I rust	"Be Healthy and	53,500.00	Declined on officer advice.	

A = 11 = 11						
Application	Name of	Name of Organisation		Total	Committee comments/	Confirmed
	d poin p		Description	Amount Requested	recommendations	amount
			Happy" - activities for socially excluded		Clients are intended to be	
			elderly BAMER women		the borough. There	
			from Haringey		appears to be no outreach	
					capacity for those excluded in the west	
6.	Jacksons Lane	ane	How does vour	F3 985 00	Accepted in full This builds	00 3 00 5 00
			Garden Grow? - a 14		on previous experience and	7,363.00
			week project for		is educational	
			children aged 0 - 5			
			and their families and			
1	777		care givers			
	Esqua Crea	Esqua Creative Studio 4	Broadwater Calm	52,985.00	Does not meet the criteria	
			Culture Gems		or the needs of the local	
	-				area	
×;	Palace Gate	ace Gates Residents'	Construction of raised	5500.00	Accepted in full as meeting	\$ 500.00
	Association (PGRA)	(FGFA)	flower bed on the		a known problem. They	
			Palace Gates Triangle		have raised matched funds	
9.	Hillfield - St James	James	Barriers for the Biker	51 000 00	Bovised amount of a move	00 000
	Association		Bav	200	robust solution was	2,000,00
					pooded Haringay Council	
					will build out and	
					demarcate the curb	
					Bollarde will be out in aloco	
					to overcome the problem	
					•	
10.	Friends of Queen's Wood	Sueen's	Restoration of derelict Victorian Toilet Block	55,000.00	Accepted in full as	5,000.00
			rocollar Tollot Clock		IIIIIOVALIVE AIIU IIIGELIIIU A	

1	(
Application Nar	Name of Organisation Project Title/	Project Title/	Total	Committee comments/ Confirmed	Confirmed
Number	or Group	Description	Amount	recommendations	amount
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Requested		
		as store room for		need. They are seeking	
		volunteer resources		outside funding for a	
				second stage	
	Muswell Hill	Renewal of equipment	53,385.00	Part funded to assist with	£ 1,758.00
	Photographic Society			cost of renewal of	
				equipment for outreach.	
				Subject to society providing	
				a list of Haringey groups	
				that they would propose to	
				visit in particular young and	
				older peoples groups.	
12.	Cranley Dene Court	Common-room	£2,006.39	Accepted and full amount	£2,007.00
	Hesidents Association	computer and		agreed as broadening the	
		associated items		horizons for residents of	
				sheltered accommodation	
				TOTAL AGREED	£ 25,000,00
					10000



MAKING THE DIFFERENCE FUND 2011-12

Suggested Application Assessment Fo	orm		App	oendix	۲2
Project Name				·	
Organisation					
Area					
Cost					
		T .		7-	

	Max	Score
How strongly does the project meet Haringey's priorities (Thriving, Healthier, Safer, Sustainable, Empowered)?	3	
Does the project provide evidence of local need and support?	6	
Are the project details SMART (Specific, Measureable, Achievable, Realistic and Time framed)?	5	
Does the project demonstrate value for money?	3	
Does the application demonstrate the capacity to carry out the work within the prescribed timetable?	6	
Does the application demonstrate sufficient understanding of risk and appropriate mitigation?	3	
Total	26	

Aron Committee Comments	
Area Committee Comments:	
Decision:	